Prevalence and causes of vision loss in high-income countries and in Eastern and Central Europe: 1990–2010

Rupert R A Bourne,¹ Jost B Jonas,² Seth R Flaxman,³ Jill Keeffe,^{4,5} Janet Leasher,⁶ Kovin Naidoo,^{7,8} Maurizio B Parodi,⁹ Konrad Pesudovs,¹⁰ Holly Price,¹ Richard A White,¹¹ Tien Y Wong,¹² Serge Resnikoff,⁸ Hugh R Taylor,¹³ on behalf of the Vision Loss Expert Group of the Global Burden of Disease Study

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Professor Rupert R A Bourne, Vision and Eye Research Unit, Postgraduate Medical Institute, Anglia Ruskin University, East Road, Cambridge CB1 1PT, UK; rb@rupertbourne.co.uk

RRAB and JBJ share the first authorship.

GAS, SR and HRT share the senior authorship.

ABSTRACT Background To assess prevalence and causes of blindness and vision impairment in high-income regions and in Central/Eastern Europe in 1990 and 2010. Methods Based on a systematic review of medical literature, prevalence of moderate and severe vision impairment (MSVI; presenting visual acuity <6/18 but \geq 3/60 in the better eye) and blindness (presenting visual acuity <3/60) was estimated for 1990 and 2010. Results Age-standardised prevalence of blindness and MSVI decreased from 0.2% to 0.1% (3.314 million to 2.736 million people) and from 1.6% to 1.0% (25.362 million to 22.176 million), respectively. Women were generally more affected than men. Cataract was the most frequent cause of blindness in all subregions in 1990, but macular degeneration and uncorrected refractive error became the most frequent causes of blindness in 2010 in all high-income countries, except for Eastern/Central Europe, where cataract remained the leading cause. Glaucoma and diabetic retinopathy were fourth and fifth most common causes for blindness for all regions at both times. Uncorrected refractive error, followed by cataract, macular degeneration, glaucoma and diabetic retinopathy, was the most common cause for MSVI in 1990 and 2010.

Conclusions In highly developed countries, prevalence of blindness and MSVI has been reduced by 50% and 38%, respectively, and the number of blind people and people with MSVI decreased by 17.4% and 12.6%, respectively, even with the increasing number of older people in the population. In high-income countries, macular degeneration has become the most important cause of blindness, but uncorrected refractive errors continue to be the leading cause of MSVI.

Blindness and vision impairment have a great impact on quality of life and are of high importance for the socioeconomics and public health of countries. A recent systematic review estimated that globally 32.4 million people, with 60% of them women, were blind (defined as presenting visual acuity <3/60 in the better eye) in 2010 and 191 million people (57% women) had a moderate and severe vision impairment (MSVI; defined as presenting visual acuity <6/18 but \geq 3/60 in the better eye).^{1–3} In the past, cataract was the major cause of visual impairment and blindness, but it is expected that with aging populations other conditions such as age-related macular degeneration (AMD), diabetic retinopathy and glaucoma will become more important causes of vision loss.

Since there were marked differences between regions in the prevalence of blindness and MSVI, its causes and temporal changes during the last 20 years,³ we analysed the prevalence and causes of blindness and MSVI, focusing on high-income countries (eg, USA, Western Europe, Australia, Japan, etc.) and on Eastern and Central Europe (HIC/EC-Europe) (table 1). Countries from Eastern and Central Europe were added to the group since these countries were geographically located close to Western Europe.

METHODS

A systematic review of medical literature from 1 January 1980 to 31 January 2012 identified indexed articles containing data on incidence, prevalence and causes of blindness and MSVI.3-5 Only cross-sectional population-based representative studies were selected from which to extract data for a database of age-specific and sex-specific data of prevalence of four distance vision impairment categories (presenting and best-corrected). Unpublished data and data from studies using 'rapid assessment' methodology were added later. Despite extensive data seeking, data were not available for many countries and years, were reported using incomparable definitions of vision impairment or were representative of a subnational or community area only. Statistical methods were used to generate estimates of the prevalence and causes of presenting vision blindness and MSVI for each country and year, 1990-2010, in 190 countries nested in 21 Global Burden of Disease (GBD) subregions.³ We estimated the contribution of six causes of vision impairment and blindness: cataract, macular degeneration (including AMD, myopic maculopathy, macula hole and any other macular disorder), glaucoma (all types of glaucoma combined), diabetic retinopathy, trachoma and uncorrected refractive error (estimated as the difference between presenting and best-corrected vision impairment, including aphakia). We also estimated the fraction of visual impairment that had other causes. We made estimates for MSVI and blindness.

For the statistical analysis, we used DisMod-MR, an age-integrating Bayesian multilevel regression tool, for the calculation of cause fractions for

To cite: Bourne RRA, Jonas JB, Flaxman SR, et al. Br J Ophthalmol Published Online First: [please include Day Month Year] doi:10.1136/bjophthalmol-2013-304033

| | Downloaded from bjo.bmj.com on June 22, 2014 - Published by group.bmj.com | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Global issues | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Table 1 Countries included in | to the category of 'high-income countries, Eastern and Central Europe' | | | | |
| Asia Pacific (n=5) | Brunei Darussalam, Japan (n=3), Republic of Korea, Singapore (n=2) | | | | |
| Australasia (n=7) | Australia (n=7), New Zealand | | | | |
| North America, high income (n=4) | Canada, USA (n=4) | | | | |
| Western Europe (n=18) | Andorra, Austria, Belgium, Cyprus, Denmark (n=1); Finland (n=1); France (n=1); Germany, Greece (n=1); Iceland (n=1); Ireland, Israel, Italy (n=3); Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands (n=2); Norway (n=1); Portugal, Spain (n=2); Sweden, Switzerland, the UK (n=5) | | | | |
| Central Europe (n=1) | Albania, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Bulgaria (n=1); Croatia, Czech Republic, Hungary, Montenegro, Poland, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Macedonia (former Yugoslav Republic of) | | | | |
| Eastern Europe (n=2) | Belarus, Estonia (n=1); Latvia, Lithuania, Moldova, Russian Federation (n=1); Ukraine | | | | |
| 'n' indicates the number of studies from | m that country. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

major causes of vision impairment to calculate the fraction of vision impairment due to causes mentioned above.^{2 3} For the assessment of the cause fraction of uncorrected refractive error, the total prevalence of vision impairment and its uncertainty were estimated using prevalence data of blindness and MSVI based on presenting visual acuity and best-corrected visual acuity. This model estimated the difference between the prevalence of blindness (and of MSVI) based on presenting visual acuity and on best-corrected visual acuity prevalence, respectively. We interpreted this difference as the fraction of vision impairment caused by undercorrected refractive error DisMod-MR produced 1000 draws for each country and by sex and age, for 1990 and 2010; we used 500 of these draws (for computational efficiency by selecting every second draw from the DisMod-MR output) to reflect uncertainty in the DisMod-MR estimates and the mean of the draws as the central estimate. These draws represent the vision impairment envelope's uncertainty distribution and comprise predictions for each country/year/sex combination. We also extracted the central estimate and 500 draws of the proportion of vision impairment caused by uncorrected refractive error, as described previously. For the central estimate and each draw, we normalised the proportions attributable to six causes of best-corrected vision loss, cataracts, glaucoma, macular degeneration, diabetic retinopathy, trachoma and other vision loss, to sum to the remaining vision impairment not due to uncorrected refractive error. We applied the resulting cause fractions to previously estimated prevalence of blindness and MSVI by country, age, sex and draw. We presented uncertainty intervals in summary estimates as the 2.5th-97.5th centiles of the distribution of draws for both all-cause prevalence and also cause-specific prevalence. For presentation, we age-standardised prevalences using the WHO reference population. We used 5-year age groups from 0 to 89 years (ie, 0-4, 5-9,..., 85-89 years), with the last age group being an open-ended age group starting at age 90 years. We also calculated the number of people with vision impairment by cause, calculated as the product of prevalence and population. The calculation was made by age, sex and country, and then summed to obtain regional totals.

The statistical analysis was carried out in three main steps. First, a series of regression equations were used to estimate the prevalence of blindness (visual acuity <3/60) and MSVI (visual acuity <6/18 but $\ge 3/60$) when other definitions of vision impairment were reported. Second, two hierarchical logistic regressions were fitted to both presenting and best-corrected vision impairment data to estimate the prevalence of presenting MSVI and blindness by age, country and year. These models were also used to estimate the contribution of refractive error based on the estimated difference between presenting and best-corrected vision impairment. Third, separate models were fit to calculate the proportion of blindness and MSVI caused by

cataract, macular degeneration (including AMD, myopic maculopathy, macular hole and any other macular disorder), glaucoma (all types of glaucoma combined), diabetic retinopathy, trachoma and uncorrected refractive error. Statistical uncertainty was estimated at each stage of the statistical analysis and is reflected in the final estimates of vision impairment prevalence by cause. The statistical methods have been described in detail previously.^{3 4}

RESULTS

Overall, out of 14 908 articles primarily searched by the GBD 2010, 243 studies described in 252 articles and reports remained after exclusion of ineligible studies and after further inclusion of unpublished data from population-based studies, government reports and rapid assessment surveys. A complete list of the 243 studies is available online.⁵ HIC/EC-Europe were the focus for 37 studies (table 1). All of the included studies were cross-sectional population-based investigations, and the visual acuity data were ascertained through clinical examination. The majority of studies (32/37) included a broad age range in the adult population. Out of the 37 studies, 27 were performed in urban regions, 3 studies in rural areas, and 7 investigations were carried out in rural and urban regions.

From 1990 to 2010, the age-standardised prevalence of blindness for all ages and for adults aged 50+ years decreased from 0.2% to 0.1% and from 0.8% to 0.4% (table 2; figure 1), respectively, and the age-standardised prevalence of MSVI decreased from 1.6% to 1.0% and from 6.0% to 3.8%, respectively (figure 2). These figures were markedly lower than the figures for the global population (table 2). The overall prevalence of blindness and the number of people affected were generally higher among women than among men across all regions and for both 1990 and 2010, as was the overall prevalence of MSVI (tables 2 and 3). The top causes of blindness changed from 1990 to 2010 (table 4). In 1990, cataract, followed by macular degeneration, was the most frequent cause of blindness in all subregions. Due to a significant decrease in the proportion of blindness due to cataract and an increase in AMD, macular degeneration became the most frequent cause of blindness in 2010 in the high-income countries of Asia Pacific, Australia, Western Europe and high-income North America, while only in Eastern and Central Europe did cataract remain the leading cause. In the high-income regions, uncorrected refractive error was the second cause of blindness, with cataract the third most frequent cause. In 1990 and 2010, the ranking of glaucoma (fourth) and diabetic retinopathy (fifth) remained unchanged for all subregions examined.

Uncorrected refractive error was the leading cause of MSVI in both 1990 to 2010. It was followed by cataract and macular degeneration, then by glaucoma and diabetic retinopathy, without any major difference between the subregions (table 5).

| | Adults \geq 50 years | | | | | | All ages | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | Men | | Women | | All | | Men | | Women | | All | |
| Region | Blind (%) | MSVI (%) | Blind (%) | MSVI (%) | Blind (%) | MSVI (%) | Blind (%) | MSVI (%) | Blind (%) | MSVI (%) | Blind (%) | MSVI (%) |
| 1990 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Asia Pacific, high-income | 0.4 (0.2 to 0.9) | 2.7 (1.9 to 8.6) | 0.7 (0.4 to 1.1) | 3.3 (2.2 to 9.7) | 0.6 (0.4 to 0.1) | 3.1 (2.1 to 8.9) | 0.1 (0.1 to 0.2) | 0.7 (0.5 to 0.2) | 0.2 (0.1 to 0.3) | 0.9 (0.6 to 2.5) | 0.1 (0.1 to 0.2) | 0.8 (0.5 to 2.3 |
| Australasia | 0.4 (0.2 to 1.2) | 5.6 (2.9 to 11.9) | 0.6 (0.4 to 1.4) | 6.6 83.3 to 14.0) | 0.5 (0.4 to 1.3) | 6.2 (3.2 to 12.9) | 0.1 (0.1 to 0.3) | 1.4 (0.7 to 3.1) | 0.2 (0.1 to 0.4) | 1.7 (0.9 to 3.7) | 0.1 (0.1 to 0.3) | 1.6 (0.8 to 3.4 |
| Europe, Central | 0.9 (0.7 to 1.8) | 9.1 (5.2 to 13.9) | 1.3 (1.0 to 2.4) | 10.5 (6.1 to 16.0) | 1.2 (0.9 to 2.1) | 9.9 (5.7 to 15.4) | 0.2 (0.2 to 0.4) | 2.4 (1.3 to 3.7) | 0.3 (0.2 to 0.6) | 2.8 (1.6 to 4.3) | 0.3 (0.2 to 0.5) | 2.6 (1.5 to 4.1 |
| Europe, Eastern | 1.1 (0.6 to 1.7) | 9.8 (5.3 to 13.5) | 1.5 (0.8 to 2.3) | 11.4 (6.1 to 15.3) | 1.4 (0.7 to 2.1) | 10.9 (5.8 to 14.7) | 0.3 (0.1 to 0.4) | 2.6 (1.3 to 3.6) | 0.4 (0.2 to 0.6) | 1.0 (1.6 to 4.1) | 0.3 (0.2 to 0.5) | 2.8 (1.5 to 3.9 |
| Europe, Western | 0.6 (0.3 to 1.1) | 5.0 (3.4 to 7.8) | 0.9 (0.6 to 1.2) | 5.9 (3.8 to 9.0) | 0.7 (0.6 to 1.1) | 5.5 83.7 to 8.4) | 0.1 (0.1 to 0.3) | 1.3 to 0.9 to 2.0) | 0.2 (0.1 to 0.3) | 1.5 (1.0 to 2.3) | 0.2 (0.1 to 0.3) | 1.4 (1.0 to 2.2 |
| North America, high-income | 0.3 (0.2 to 0.6) | 2.7 (2.2 to 4.7) | 0.5 (0.3 to 0.8) | 3.3 (2.5 to 5.2) | 0.5 (0.3 to 0.7) | 3.0 (2.4 to 4.9) | 0.1 (0.0 to 0.2) | 0.7 (0.6 to 1.2) | 0.1 (0.1 to 0.3) | 0.8 (0.6 to 1.4) | 0.1 (0.1 to 0.2) | 0.8 (0.6 to 1.3 |
| HIC, Europe | 0.6 (0.5 to 1.0) | 5.3 (4.0 to 7.1) | 1.2 (0.9,1.6) | 7.8 (5.9 to 10.2) | 0.8 (0.7. 1.1) | 6.0 (4.6 to 8.0) | 0.1 (0.1 to 0.2) | 1.4 (1.0,1.9) | 0.2 (0.2,0.3) | 1.7 (1.3,2.3) | 0.2 (0.2 to 0.3) | 1.6 (1.2 to 2.1 |
| World 2 <i>010</i> | 2.8 (2.4 to 3.2) | 13.8 (11.6 to 15.5) | 3.4 (3.0 to 3.9) | 15.3 (12.9 to 17.3) | 3.0 (2.7 to 3.4) | 14.3 (12.1 to 16.2) | 0.7 (0.6 to 0.8) | 3.9 (3.2 to 4.4) | 0.8 (0.7 to 1.0) | 4.3 (3.5 to 4.9) | 0.8 (0.7 to 0.9) | 4.1 (3.4 to 4.7 |
| Asia Pacific, high-income | 0.3 (0.1 to 0.5) | 1.8 (1.2 to 6.6) | 0.4 (0.2 to 0.7) | 2.2 (1.4 to 7.2) | 0.3 (0.2 to 0.6) | 2.0 (1.3 to 6.9) | 0.1 (0.0 to 0.2) | 0.5 (0.3 to 1.7) | 0.1 (0.1 to 0.2) | 0.6 (0.4 to 1.9) | 0.1 (0.1 to 0.1) | 0.5 (0.3 to 1.8 |
| Australasia | 0.3 (0.1 to 0.8) | 3.9 (1.8 to 9.1) | 0.4 (0.2 to 1.0) | 4.6 (2.1 to 10.1) | 0.3 (0.2 to 0.9) | 4.2 (2.1 to 9.6) | 0.1 (0.0 to 0.2) | 1.0 (0.5 to 2.3) | 0.1 (0.1 to 0.2) | 1.2 (0.5 to 2.6) | 0.1 (0.1 to 0.2) | 1.1 (0.5 to 2.5 |
| Europe, Central | 0.5 (0.4 to 1.1) | 6.0 (3.7 to 10.7) | 0.7 (0.6 to 1.5) | 7.0 (4.3 to 12.3) | 0.7 (0.5 to 1.3) | 6.6 (4.0 to 11.6) | 0.1 (0.1 to 0.3) | 1.6 (0.9 to 2.8) | 0.2 (0.1 to 0.4) | 1.8 81.1 to 3.3) | 0.2 (0.1 to 0.3) | 1.7 (1.0 to 3.1 |
| Europe, Eastern | 0.6 (0.3 to 0.9) | 6.3 (3.0 to 10.4) | 0.8 (0.4 to 1.3) | 7.4 (3.5 to 12.1) | 0.7 (0.4 to 1.2) | 7.0 (3.3 to 11.4) | 0.1 (0.1 to 0.2) | 1.6 (0.8 to 2.7) | 0.2 (0.1 to 0.4) | 1.9 (0.9 to 3.2) | 0.2 (0.1 to 0.3) | 1.8 (0.8 to 3.0 |
| Europe, Western | 0.3 (0.2 to 0.6) | 3.2 (2.3 to 5.5) | 0.5 (0.3 to 0.7) | 3.8 (2.6 to 6.3) | 0.4 (0.3 to 0.6) | 3.5 82.5 to 6.0) | 0.1 (0.0 to 0.1) | 0.8 (0.6 to 1.4) | 0.1 (0.1 to 0.2) | 1.0 (0.7 to 1.6) | 0.1 (0.1 to 0.1) | 0.9 (0.6 to 1.6 |
| North America, high-income | 0.2 (0.1 to 0.4) | 2.0 (1.5 to 3.6) | 0.4 (0.2 to 0.5) | 2.4 (1.8 to 4.3) | 0.3 (0.2 to 0.5) | 2.2 (1.7 to 3.9) | 0.1 (0.0 to 0.1) | 0.5 (0.4 to 0.9) | 0.1 (0.1 to 0.1) | 0.6 (0.5 to 1.1) | 0.1 (0.0 to 0.1) | 0.6 (0.4 to 1.0 |
| HIC, Europe | 0.3 (0.2 to 0.6) | 3.3 (2.4 to 5.3) | 0.7 (0.5 to 1.1) | 5.2 (3.8 to 7.9) | 0.4 (0.3 to 0.6) | 3.8 (2.8 to 5.9) | 0.1 (0.1 to 0.1) | 0.9 (0.6 to 1.4) | 0.1 (0.1 to 0.2) | 1.1 (0.8 to 1.6) | 0.1 (0.1 to 0.2) | 1.0 (0.7 to 1.6 |
| World | 1.7 (1.5 to 1.9) | 9.7 (8.8 to 11.5) | 2.3 (2.0 to 2.6) | 11.4 (10.3 to 13.5) | 1.9 (1.7 to 2.2) | 10.4 (9.5 to 12.3) | 0.4 (0.4 to 0.5) | 2.7 (2.4 to 3.2) | 0.5 (0.5 to 0.6) | 3.1 (2.8 to 3.7) | 0.5 (0.4 to 0.6) | 2.9 (2.6 to 3.5 |

Table 2Age-standardised prevalence of moderate and severe vision impairment (MSVI; presenting visual acuity <6/18 but \geq 3/60) and blindness (presenting visual acuity <3/60) by sex and year</th>in high-income countries (HIC) and Eastern and Central Europe (Europe) for 1990 and 2010

95% uncertainty interval is shown in parentheses.

ω

Global issues

Global issues

Figure 1 Ladder plot showing the age-standardised prevalence of blindness and change in men (A) and women (B) aged 50+ years for 1990 and 2010.



High-income countries,



In 2010, the number of blind people of all ages was about 473 000 in high-income North America (cataract: 60 100 (12.7%) people; uncorrected refractive error: 66 700 (14.1%); macular degeneration: 77 600 (16.4%); glaucoma: 50 600 (10.7%); diabetic retinopathy: 18 400 (3.9%) people). The number was 956 000 blind people in Western Europe (cataract: 131 900 (13.8%) people; uncorrected refractive error: 133 800 (14.0%); macular degeneration: 153 900 (16.1%); glaucoma: 101 300 (10.6%); diabetic retinopathy: 40.200 (4.2%) people). In the whole region of HIC/EC-Europe, the number of blind people declined by 17.4%, from 3.314 million in 1990 to 2.736 million in 2010.

The number of people with MSVI was 3.102 million in North America (cataract: 403 300 (13.0%) people; uncorrected

refractive error: 1 492 100 (48.1%); macular degeneration: 170 600 (5.5%); glaucoma: 105 500 (3.4%); diabetic retinopathy: 86 900 (2.8%) people). The number of people for Western Europe was 7.490 million (cataract: 1 033 600 (13.8%) people; uncorrected refractive error: 3 542 800 (47.3%); macular degeneration: 404 500 (5.4%); glaucoma: 254 700 (3.4%); diabetic retinopathy: 224 700 (3.0%) people). In the whole region of HIC/EC-Europe, the number of people with MSVI decreased by 12.6%, from 25.362 million in 1990 to 22.176 million in 2010.

DISCUSSION

Summarising data published in available epidemiological studies between 1980 and 2012, our study model suggested that the

| | - 1 | | |
|--------------------------|-----|-------------------|--|
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | - | . ۹ | |
| Albania | 1 | → .* | |
| TFYR Macedonia | 1 | | |
| Serbia | 1 | • • | |
| Moldova | - | 9- C | |
| Croatia | 1 | • • | |
| Portugal | - | ee | |
| Montenegro | + | • • | |
| Hungary | - | _galanana | |
| Russian Federation | - | | |
| Romania | - | e-8, | |
| Ukraine | - | | |
| Slovenia | 4 | | |
| Belarus | - | e | |
| Latvia | - | eA | |
| Lithuania | - | | |
| Estonia | 4 | * | |
| Poland | 4 | | |
| Slovakia | 4 | e | |
| Spain | 4 | ۰ | |
| Bulgaria | 4 | ** | |
| Italy | 4 | يەلىس | |
| Singapore | _ | -marken | |
| Czech Republic | _ | | |
| Ireland | _ | gadama . | |
| Austria | _ | | |
| France | _ | 6- A | |
| Greece | | | |
| Republic of Korea | | and a second | |
| Luxembourg | | | |
| Australia | | | |
| New Zeeland | | - | |
| Brunci Darussalam | | | |
| Mata | | 1. A. | |
| Chana | | | |
| Boloium | ٦ | | |
| Netherlands | | - | |
| Reneranos | | -A | |
| Germany | | | |
| loeiand | 1 | | |
| Anoorra | 1 | 4 m - | |
| Finiand | 1 | | |
| Israel | 1 | 6 P | |
| Japan | 1 | | |
| Sweden | 1 | | - Europe Central |
| United States of America | 1 | <u>~</u> | Europe, Western |
| United Kingdom | Η | e | — Australasia |
| Denmark | Η | 6 ^{0.} | Europe, Eastern |
| Switzerland | Η | 8 ⁴ - | Asia Pacific, High Income |
| Canada | - | | North America, High Income |
| Norway | 4 | a. | 1990 |
| | | | 2010 |
| | | | |
| | | 0% 50 | % 10% 15% |
| | | Ane_standardize | ad nrevalence of blindness adults. 50 years |
| | | Age- stanual uize | a prevalence of billioness, adults - 50 years |



Figure 1 Continued.

Age- standardized prevalence of blindness, adults 50 years

high-income countries, including the USA, Western Europe, Australia and Japan, have a relatively low prevalence of blindness and MSVI. While cataract was the most frequent cause of blindness in 1990, in the last 20 years the situation has changed, and now macular degeneration, including AMD and myopic macular degeneration, has become the most common cause of blindness. Only in the countries of Eastern and Central Europe does cataract remain as the leading cause of blindness, although our model also suggested that there was a marked decline in cataract blindness in these countries (table 4). The causes for MSVI remained unchanged for the period from 1990 to 2010 with uncorrected refractive error as by far the most frequent cause of visual impairment (table 5). The findings obtained in the high-income regions were different from those from other world regions, in which the prevalence of visual impairment and blindness was markedly higher than in the high-income countries and in which cataract remained the most frequent cause of visual impairment and blindness. The findings of our study have public health implications for hospital planning, resource allocation, training of eye-care providers and public education.

The prevalence of age-related blindness and MSVI in older people (50 years and above) in the high-income regions was markedly lower than the figures for the global adult population. It shows the inverse association between the level of socioeconomic background and prevalence of visual impairment. In

Global issues

Figure 2 Ladder plot showing the age-standardised prevalence of moderate to severe visual impairment (MSVI) and change in men (A) and women (B) aged 50+ years for 1990 and 2010.



High-income countries, Eastern and Central Europe, mal

absolute percentage points, the decrease in age-related blindness and MSVI in older people was lower in the high-income regions (decrease from 0.8% to 0.4% and from 6.0% to 3.8%, respectively) than globally (decrease from 3.0% to 1.9%; and from 14.3% to 10.4%, respectively (table 2)).^{6–14} In relative terms, however, blindness decreased by 50% in HIC/EC-Europe while it decreased by only 37% globally. Similarly, MSVI decreased by 37% in HIC/EC-Europe versus 27% globally. The high-income regions showed a higher prevalence of blindness and MSVI for women than men (tables 2 and 3).¹⁵ This difference has been found in most regions and may reflect differential use or access to services, although the increased life expectancy will also play a role with the use of broad age categories for age-related conditions. Future public health measures may have to address providing equal opportunity in having good vision for both genders in all age groups.

In 2010, 'macular degeneration' as a group has replaced cataract as the most frequent cause of blindness in high-income regions. This change may have been due to a further increase in the number of cataract surgery, an increased life expectancy leading to an increased prevalence of AMD, and it may reflect the challenges in treatment of AMD. The increased importance of macular diseases in the Asia-Pacific region may also be associated with AMD, ¹⁶ as well as high rates of myopia with the risk of myopic macular degeneration.¹⁷ ¹⁸ This trend is important as both AMD and myopic macular degeneration are now High-income countries,





Age-standardized prevalence of MSVI, adults ≥ 50 years

increasingly treatable (eg, with antivascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) therapy), and strategies to increase patient awareness, improve access to these new therapies and appropriate training of eye-care specialists in these areas will be increasingly important.¹⁹ In some countries such as Denmark and Israel, the introduction of anti-VEGF therapy has led to a decline in prevalence of visual impairment due to macular degenerations such as AMD or myopic maculopathy.²⁰

The most frequent cause of MSVI, and the third most common cause of blindness, was uncorrected refractive error (tables 4 and 5). It shows that even for the highly developed countries one of the most effective, cheapest and safest ways of improving vision loss by providing adequate spectacles for correcting refractive errors is being overlooked.

Finally, the impending epidemic of diabetes on blindness and vision loss should be addressed.²¹ It is estimated that there will be more than 100 million people with diabetic retinopathy and possibly 30 million will be at risk of severe sight-threatening retinopathy.²² Importantly, many people with diabetes are not only at risk of diabetic retinopathy but also cataract and glaucoma²³; thus, the impact of diabetes is multifold. Strategies to screen for diabetic retinopathy and provide timely treatment access are critical to prevent this condition from having a greater impact on blindness prevalence in the future.

| | Men | | | Women | | | All | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| Region | Blind ('000s) | MSVI ('000 s) | Total population of the region ('000s) | Blind ('000s) | MSVI ('000s) | Total population of the region ('000s) | Blind ('000s) | MSVI (′000s) | Total population of the region ('000s) | |
| 1990 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Asia Pacific, high-income | 86 (41 to 181) | 611 (417 to 1921) | 83 613 | 194 (113 to 326) | 992 (651 to 2898) | 85 835 | 281 (192 to 479) | 1603 (1103 to 4680) | 169 000 | |
| Australasia | 11 (6 to 30) | 159 (81 to 345) | 10 191 | 24 (15 to 55) | 244 (123 to 513) | 10 286 | 35 (25 to 83) | 403 (208 to 848) | 20 500 | |
| Europe, Central | 135 (105 to 258) | 1480 (832 to 2304) | 59 857 | 278 (214 to 514) | 2349 (1339 to 3623) | 62 310 | 413 (320 to 765) | 3829 (2167 to 5985) | 122 000 | |
| Europe, Eastern | 225 (121 to 347) | 2448 (1253 to 3429) | 103 769 | 730 (386 to 1123) | 5575 (1339 to 3623) | 118 432 | 955 (504 to 1471) | 8023 (4280 to 10 900) | 222 000 | |
| Europe, Western | 338 (187 to 642) | 3094 (2082 to 4880) | 185 532 | 842 (576 to 1217) | 5440 (3558 to 8254) | 195 861 | 1179 (905 to 1736) | 8529 (5808 to 12 900) | 381 000 | |
| North America, high-income | 130 (70 to 241) | 1093 (867 to 1875) | 138 736 | 321 (208 to 463) | 1883 (1432 to 2989) | 143 831 | 451 (320 to 653) | 2975 (2358 to 4787) | 281 000 | |
| World 2010 | 12 776 (11 059 to 14 746) | 75 315 (61 143 to 86 983) | 2 673 690 | 19 039 (16 811 to 22 103) | 96 892 (79 823 to 111 438) | 2 633 378 | 31 816 (28 143 to 36 745) | 172 213 (142 749 to 198 125) | 5 300 000 | |
| Asia Pacific, high-income | 105 (52 to 223) | 739 (473 to 2680) | 88 489 | 248 (145 to 427) | 1211 (801 to 3919) | 92 251 | 353 (223 to 616) | 1950 (1305 to 6561) | 180 000 | |
| Australasia | 13 (7 to 39) | 189 (86 to 442) | 12 821 | 26 (15 to 62) | 269 (126 to 581) | 12 994 | 38 826 to 98) | 458 (223 to 1027) | 26 600 | |
| Europe, Central | 102 (76 to 210) | 1243 (744 to 2240) | 57 423 | 226 (172 to 460) | 2082 (1274 to 3649) | 60 986 | 328 (249 to 665) | 3325 (2020 to 5871) | 119 000 | |
| Europe, Eastern | 148 (76 to 240) | 1848 (869 to 3037) | 95 094 | 440 (223 to 709) | 4003 (1893 to 6529) | 110 705 | 588 (304 to 951) | 5851 (2751 to 9544) | 208 000 | |
| Europe, Western | 289 (166 to 542) | 2866 (2058 to 4942) | 204 085 | 668 (431 to 987) | 4624 (3238 to 7651) | 212 131 | 956 (676 to 1403) | 7490 (5263 to 12 600) | 419 000 | |
| North America, high-income | 146 (79 to 270) | 1198 (886 to 2187) | 173 581 | 327 (198 to 481) | 1904 (1447 to 3395) | 177 950 | 473 (299 to 699) | 3102 (2409 to 5425) | 344 000 | |
| World | 12 848 (11 418 to 14 626) | 82 740 (74 444 to 99 069) | 3 491 935 | 19 611 (17 719 to 22 165) | 108 883 (99 159 to 130 141) | 3 434 618 | 32 411 (29 351 to 36 524 | 191 342 (173 910 to 229 823) | 6 890 000 | |

Table 3Absolute numbers of people affected by moderate and severe vision impairment (MSVI; presenting visual acuity <6/18 but \geq 3/60) and blindness (presenting visual acuity <3/60) by sex</th>and year in high-income countries (HIC) and Eastern and Central Europe (Europe) (all ages) for 1990 and 2010

95% uncertainty interval is shown in parentheses.

 ∞

| Region | Cataract | Uncorrected refractive error | Macular degeneration | Glaucoma | Diabetic retinopathy | Trachoma | Other causes/ unidentified |
|----------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1990 | | | | | | | |
| Asia Pacific, high-income | 18.8 (13.3 to 25.6) | 14.0 (8.4 to 18.2) | 14.9 (10.3 to 21.3) | 9.0 (6.0 to 12.8) | 4.8 (3.3 to 7.2) | | 38.5 (30.4 to 46.4) |
| Australasia | 19.7 (15.2 to 26.0) | 14.0 (8.4 to 18.0) | 16.8 (12.9 to 22.2) | 9.6 (7.4 to 13.1) | 4.5 (3.4 to 6.2) | | 35.5 (29.2 to 41.6) |
| Europe, Central | 26.9 (23.1 to 31.5) | 13.8 (8.2 to 17.9) | 12.2 (9.3 to 15.6) | 10.2 (7.9 to 13.3) | 3.5 (2.8 to 4.5) | | 33.4 (28.6 to 38.3) |
| Europe, Eastern | 25.3 (18.7 to 32.5) | 13.8 (8.3 to 17.7) | 13.1 (9.1 to 19.2) | 10.8 (7.7 to 15.4) | 3.5 (2.4 to 5.4) | | 33.7 (25.5 to 42.0) |
| Europe, Western | 19.2 (16.2 to 22.7) | 13.9 (8.3 to 18.0) | 16.1 (13.4 to 19.6) | 9.0 (7.4 to 11.3) | 4.4 (3.8 to 5.6) | | 37.4 (32.4 to 43.0) |
| North America, high-income | 17.4 (12.5 to 22.8) | 14.0 (8.4 to 18.1) | 16.4 (12.2 to 21.4) | 9.2 (6.7 to 11.9) | 4.1 (3.0 to 5.8) | | 39.0 (32.0 to 46.9) |
| World | 38.6 (35.2 to 42.0) | 19.9 (14.9 to 24.9) | 4.9 (4.4 to 5.8) | 4.4 (4.0 to 5.1) | 2.1 (1.9 to 2.5) | 2.8 (2.3 to 3.1) | 27.4 (24.9 to 30.0) |
| 2010 | | | | | | | |
| Asia Pacific, high-income | 13.1 (8.3 to 20.8) | 14.1 (8.4 to 18.2) | 19.5 (12.3 to 28.8) | 11.7 (7.1 to 18.8) | 4.3 (2.6 to 7.1) | | 37.3 (25.9 to 46.7) |
| Australasia | 14.5 (8.5 to 22.4) | 14.1 (8.4 to 18.1) | 17.7 (11.1 to 26.3) | 11.3 (6.8 to 18.8) | 4.3 (2.5 to 7.7) | | 38.2 (25.9 to 48.1) |
| Europe, Central | 21.6 (17.0 to 26.7) | 14.0 (8.3 to 18.0) | 15.4 (10.9 to 20.8) | 12.5 (9.1 to 17.0) | 3.7 (2.8 to 5.5) | | 32.9 (26.7 to 38.3) |
| Europe, Eastern | 20.6 (13.1 to 30.5) | 14.0 (8.4 to 18.0) | 16.6 (10.1 to 25.1) | 13.5 (8.6 to 20.6) | 4.0 (2.5 to 6.9) | | 31.3 (21.0 to 40.9) |
| Europe, Western | 13.8 (11.2 to 17.9) | 14.0 (8.4 to 18.1) | 16.1 (12.5 to 20.1) | 10.6 (8.2 to 14.0) | 4.2 (3.4 to 5.9) | | 41.4 (35.4 to 46.5) |
| North America, high-income | 12.7 (8.3 to 18.7) | 14.1 (8.4 to 18.2) | 16.4 (10.8 to 23.4) | 10.7 (7.0 to 15.7) | 3.9 (2.7 to 6.4) | | 42.2 (32.6 to 50.1) |
| World | 33.4 (29.6 to 36.4) | 20.9 (15.2 to 25.9) | 6.6 (6.0 to 7.9) | 6.6 (5.9 to 7.9) | 2.6 (2.2 to 3.4) | 1.4 (1.2 to 1.7) | 28.6 (26.1 to 31.5) |

Table 4 Percentage of blindness (presenting visual acuity <3/60) by cause, regions and the world, 1990 and 2010, all ages

95% uncertainty interval is shown in parentheses.

The limitations of our study include the following: First, that there were very few to no national studies, so we were making inference from community studies. Second, some studies were inconsistent about including nursing homes, which are home to many older blind people and therefore were important to include. Third, some data sources did not report prevalence by age, so we had to impute age-specific cause fractions.³ Fourth, there were only three surveys available for Central and Eastern Europe, so country-years remained without data or only had only subnational data.³ This may be a major limitation in view of the significant challenges the countries in Eastern and Central Europe went through during the period under consideration. Fifth, the group 'macular degeneration' included AMD and myopic macular degeneration, so we could not differentiate between the two latter entities. It may be of importance for high-income countries in East Asia, where myopic macular degeneration ranked among the three most common causes for vision impairment and blindness.^{17 18 24} Sixth, the basic studies varied in the definition of the diseases such as glaucoma,²⁵ and unidentified or 'other' causes generally represented about 20–30% of the causes for MSVI and approximately 20–35% of the causes of blindness. Seventh, the protocols of most population-based studies required only one cause of vision impairment to be given as the main reason for an individual examined in that particular study in order to arrive at the causal prevalence. When there were multiple disorders contributing equally to visual loss, only the 'most readily curable' or the "most easily preventable' was recorded.²⁶ Eighth, near vision was not considered, so uncorrected presbyopia-related visual impairment was not effectively taken into account. Last but not least, one may

Table 5 Proportion of moderate and severe vision impairment (MSVI; presenting visual acuity <6/18 but \geq 3/60) by cause, regions and the world, 1990 and 2010, all ages

| Region | Cataract | Refractive error | Macular degeneration | Glaucoma | Diabetic retinopathy | Trachoma | Other causes/ unidentified |
|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1990 | | | | | | | |
| Asia Pacific, high-income | 22.8 (18.1 to 29.4) | 47.9 (38.4 to 54.1) | 3.5 (2.4 to 5.1) | 2.3 (1.5 to 3.5) | 2.8 (1.9 to 4.1) | | 20.8 (15.8 to 27.3) |
| Australasia | 21.9 (17.2 to 27.6) | 46.5 (37.4 to 53.1) | 6.6 (4.6 to 9.5) | 2.4 (1.7 to 3.4) | 2.6 (1.9 to 3.6) | | 20.1 (15.8 to 25.7) |
| Europe, Central | 26.6 (21.9 to 31.7) | 45.2 (36.1 to 52.1) | 4.7 (3.4 to 6.5) | 2.5 (1.9 to 3.3) | 2.0 (1.5 to 2.7) | | 19.1 (15.8 to 23.4) |
| Europe, Eastern | 26.4 (20.4 to 32.1) | 44.8 (36.6 to 51.3) | 4.3 (2.7 to 6.9) | 2.7 (1.8 to 4.2) | 2.0 (1.4 to 3.2) | | 19.8 (14.8 to 26.0) |
| Europe, Western | 24.1 (19.9 to 28.8) | 46.6 (37.9 to 53.0) | 4.1 (3.3 to 5.2) | 2.3 (1.8 to 3.0) | 2.5 (2.0 to 3.3) | | 20.5 (17.2 to 24.5) |
| North America, high-income | 21.1 (16.6 to 26.1) | 47.8 (38.7 to 54.3) | 4.5 (3.2 to 6.1) | 2.4 (1.7 to 3.4) | 2.4 (1.8 to 3.5) | | 21.9 (17.3 to 27.8) |
| World | 25.6 (22.7 to 28.4) | 51.1 (45.6 to 56.0) | 1.9 (1.6 to 2.4) | 1.2 (1.1 to 1.5) | 1.3 (1.2 to 1.6) | 1.3 (0.97 to 1.5) | 17.6 (15.4 to 20.3) |
| 2010 | | | | | | | |
| Asia Pacific, high-income | 15.2 (8.2 to 22.1) | 48.1 (38.6 to 54.4) | 6.0 (3.8 to 9.8) | 3.7 (2.3 to 6.9) | 3.1 (2.0 to 5.4) | | 23.9 (17.3 to 30.6) |
| Australasia | 13.7 (8.4 to 20.8) | 47.1 (37.7 to 53.5) | 8.0 (5.1 to 12.6) | 3.2 (1.9 to 5.9) | 2.9 (1.8 to 5.9) | | 25.1 (17.4 to 32.4) |
| Europe, Central | 18.1 (13.2 to 23.4) | 46.2 (36.7 to 52.7) | 7.4 (5.2 to 11.0) | 3.9 (2.8 to 6.0) | 2.5 (1.8 to 4.0) | | 22.0 (17.5 to 27.0) |
| Europe, Eastern | 18.4 (11.8 to 26.2) | 46.1 (37.1 to 52.6) | 6.8 (4.0 to 10.9) | 4.5 (2.6 to 7.7) | 2.8 (1.8 to 5.2) | | 21.3 (14.4 to 29.1) |
| Europe, Western | 13.8 (10.3 to 18.3) | 47.3 (38.5 to 53.7) | 5.4 (4.1 to 7.5) | 3.4 (2.5 to 4.9) | 3.0 (2.4 to 4.5) | | 27.1 (22.5 to 32.9) |
| North America, high-income | 13.0 (7.8 to 19.5) | 48.1 (38.9 to 54.4) | 5.5 (3.5 to 8.0) | 3.4 (2.3 to 5.4) | 2.8 (1.9 to 4.7) | | 27.3 (21.4 to 33.8) |
| World | 18.4 (15.8 to 20.9) | 52.9 (47.2 to 57.3) | 3.1 (2.7 to 4.0) | 2.2 (2.0 to 2.8) | 1.9 (1.6 to 2.7) | 0.71 (0.56 to 0.91) | 20.8 (18.4 to 23.8) |

Global issues

consider that the prevalence of blindness for adults in 1990 was 0.8% in the high-income countries, so the maximum possible reduction could have been only 0.8%. It indicates that in absolute terms it was easier for other regions to reduce their prevalence of blindness since they started at a higher level. This may not hold true if the changes in the prevalence of blindness and MSVI are calculated in relative terms.

In conclusion, macular degenerations, which includes AMD and myopic macular degeneration, have replaced cataract as the most important cause of blindness in highly developed countries, showing the necessity to develop strategies to increase access to new therapies, such as anti-VEGF therapy. Importantly, even in rich countries, uncorrected refractive errors are the leading cause of visual impairment, so measures to provide adequate access to correction of refractive errors including presbyopia appear to be the easiest, safest and cheapest to decrease vision loss in the general population. However, the last 20 years has seen substantial decreases in both the prevalence and the number of blind and visually impaired in countries in this region.

Author affiliations

¹Vision & Eye Research Unit, Postgraduate Medical Institute, Anglia Ruskin University, Cambridge, UK

²Department of Ophthalmology, Medical Faculty Mannheim, Heidelberg University, Mannheim, Germany

³School of Computer Science & Heinz College, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA

⁴Department of Ophthalmology, University of Melbourne, Australia

⁵L V Prasad Eye Institute, Hyderabad, India
⁶Nova Southeastern University, Fort Lauderdale, USA

Advision Vision Research Institute, University of Kwazulu-Natal, South Africa & Brien Holden Vision Institute, Sydney, Australia

⁸Brien Holden Vision Institute, Sydney, Australia

⁹Department of Ophthalmology, University Vita-Salute, San Raffaele Scientific Hospital, Milan, Italy

¹⁰NHMRC Centre for Clinical Eye Research, Flinders University, Adelaide, Australia ¹¹Department of Genes and Environment, Division of Epidemiology, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway

¹²Singapore Eye Research Institute, Singapore

¹³Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, University of Melbourne, Australia

Acknowledgements Benita J O'Colmain assisted with the incorporation of microdata from several large population-based studies. The principal investigators of these and other studies are thanked for authorising unpublished study data to be used in this project. Catherine Michaud and Colin Mathers greatly assisted in the communications between the GBD Core Group and the GBD Vision Loss Expert Group. Donatella Pascolini kindly assisted in retrieval of some unpublished data sources. Gretchen Stevens was responsible for the statistical analysis.

Collaborators Group information: A list of the Vision Loss Expert Group members appears at http://www.anglia.ac.uk/ruskin/en/home/microsites/veru/other_research_ areas/global_burden_of_diseases.html.

Contributors All authors made substantial contributions to conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data, and gave final approval of the version to be published. RRAB, JBJ, SR and HRT were responsible for drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content.

Funding This study was partially funded by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, Fight for Sight, Fred Hollows Foundation and the Brien Holden Vision Institute. The results in this paper are prepared independently of the final estimates of the Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors study. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

REFERENCES

- 1 Murray CJ, Vos T, Lozano R, et al. Disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for 291 diseases and injuries in 21 regions, 1990–2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet 2012;380:2197–223.
- 2 Vos T, Flaxman AD, Naghavi M, et al. Years lived with disability (YLDs) for 1160 sequelae of 289 diseases and injuries 1990–2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet 2012;380:2163–96.
- 3 Stevens G, White R, Flaxman SR, et al. Global prevalence of visual impairment and blindness: magnitude and temporal trends, 1990–2010. Ophthalmology 2013;120:2377–84.
- 4 Bourne R, Price H, Taylor H, *et al*. New systematic review methodology for visual impairment and blindness for the 2010 Global Burden of Disease study. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol* 2013;20:33–9.
- 5 http://www.anglia.ac.uk/ruskin/en/home/microsites/veru/other_research_areas/ global_burden_of_diseases.Maincontent.0006.file.tmp/Global%20Burden%20of% 20Diseases%20Vision%20Loss%20Group%20-%20Web%20Appendix.pdf (accessed 3 Jul 2013)
- 6 Attebo K, Mitchell P, Smith W. Visual acuity and the causes of visual loss in Australia. The Blue Mountains Eye Study. Ophthalmology 1996;103:357–64.
- 7 Klaver CC, Wolfs RC, Vingerling JR, et al. Age-specific prevalence and causes of blindness and visual impairment in an older population: the Rotterdam Study. Arch Ophthalmol 1998;116:653–8.
- 8 Weih LM, VanNewkirk MR, McCarty CA, et al. Age-specific causes of bilateral visual impairment. Arch Ophthalmol 2000;118:264–9.
- 9 Wang JJ, Foran S, Mitchell P. Age-specific prevalence and causes of bilateral and unilateral visual impairment in older Australians: the Blue Mountains Eye Study. *Clin Experiment Ophthalmol* 2000;28:268–73.
- 10 Dineen BP, Bourne RR, Ali SM, et al. Prevalence and causes of blindness and visual impairment in Bangladeshi adults: results of the National Blindness and Low Vision Survey of Bangladesh. Br J Ophthalmol 2003;87:820–8.
- 11 Congdon N, O'Colmain B, Klaver CC, et al. Causes and prevalence of visual impairment among adults in the United States. Arch Ophthalmol 2004;122:477–85.
- 12 Saw SM, Foster PJ, Gazzard G, *et al.* Causes of blindness, low vision, and questionnaire-assessed poor visual function in Singaporean Chinese adults: the Tanjong Pagar Survey. *Ophthalmology* 2004;111:1161–8.
- 13 Hsu WM, Cheng CY, Liu JH, et al. Prevalence and causes of visual impairment in an elderly Chinese population in Taiwan: the Shihpai Eye Study. Ophthalmology 2004;111:62–9.
- 14 Jadoon MZ, Dineen B, Bourne RR, et al. Prevalence of blindness and visual impairment in Pakistan: the Pakistan National Blindness and Visual Impairment Survey. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2006;47:4749–55.
- 15 Abou-Gareeb I, Lewallen S, Bassett K, et al. Gender and blindness: a meta-analysis of population-based prevalence surveys. Ophthalmic Epidemiol 2001;8:39–56.
- 16 Kawasaki R, Yasuda M, Song SJ, et al. The prevalence of age-related macular degeneration in Asians: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ophthalmology 2010;117:921–7.
- 17 Morgan IG, Ohno-Matsui K, Saw SM. Myopia. Lancet 2012;379:1739–48.
- 18 Pan CW, Zheng YF, Anuar AR, et al. Prevalence of refractive errors in a multiethnic Asian population: the Singapore epidemiology of eye disease study. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2013;54:2590–8.
- Lim LS, Mitchell P, Seddon JM, et al. Age-related macular degeneration. Lancet 2012;379:1728–38.
- 20 Cheung N, Wong TY. Changing trends of blindness: the initial harvest from translational public health and clinical research in ophthalmology. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2012;153:193–5.
- 21 Cheung N, Mitchell P, Wong TY. Diabetic retinopathy. *Lancet* 2010;10;124–36.
- 22 Yau JW, Rogers SL, Kawasaki R, et al. Global prevalence and major risk factors of diabetic retinopathy. Diabetes Care 2012;35:556–64.
- 23 Chiang PP, Lamoureux EL, Zheng Y, *et al.* Frequency and risk factors of non-retinopathy ocular conditions in people with diabetes: the Singapore Malay Eye Study. *Diabet Med* 2013;30:e32–40.
- 24 Xu L, Wang Y, Li Y, *et al.* Causes of blindness and visual impairment in an urban and rural area in Beijing: the Beijing Eye Study. *Ophthalmology* 2006;113:1134.e1–11.
- 25 Wolfs RC, Borger PH, Ramrattan RS, et al. Changing views on open-angle glaucoma: definitions and prevalences-The Rotterdam Study. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2000;41:3309–21.
- 26 World Health Organization. Coding instructions for the WHO/PBL eye examination record (version III). PBL/88.1. http://www.who.int/ncd/vision2020_actionplan/ documents/pbl_88_1.pdf. (accessed 14 May 2013).



Prevalence and causes of vision loss in high-income countries and in Eastern and Central Europe: 1990–2010

Rupert R A Bourne, Jost B Jonas, Seth R Flaxman, et al.

Br J Ophthalmol published online March 24, 2014 doi: 10.1136/bjophthalmol-2013-304033

Updated information and services can be found at: http://bjo.bmj.com/content/early/2014/02/19/bjophthalmol-2013-304033.full.html

These include:

| Data Supplement | "Press release" http://bjo.bmj.com/content/suppl/2014/03/26/bjophthalmol-2013-304033.DC1.html |
|--|--|
| References | This article cites 24 articles, 5 of which can be accessed free at: http://bjo.bmj.com/content/early/2014/02/19/bjophthalmol-2013-304033.full.html#ref-list-1 |
| P <p< th=""><th>Published online March 24, 2014 in advance of the print journal.</th></p<> | Published online March 24, 2014 in advance of the print journal. |
| Email alerting service | Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article. |

Advance online articles have been peer reviewed, accepted for publication, edited and typeset, but have not not yet appeared in the paper journal. Advance online articles are citable and establish publication priority; they are indexed by PubMed from initial publication. Citations to Advance online articles must include the digital object identifier (DOIs) and date of initial publication.

To request permissions go to: http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to: http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to: http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/

Topic Collections

Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

Press releases (12 articles) Neurology (1192 articles) Vision (566 articles) Optic nerve (631 articles) Optics and refraction (448 articles) Retina (1380 articles) Angle (891 articles) Glaucoma (877 articles) Intraocular pressure (888 articles) Lens and zonules (725 articles)

Notes

Advance online articles have been peer reviewed, accepted for publication, edited and typeset, but have not not yet appeared in the paper journal. Advance online articles are citable and establish publication priority; they are indexed by PubMed from initial publication. Citations to Advance online articles must include the digital object identifier (DOIs) and date of initial publication.

To request permissions go to: http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to: http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to: http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/